

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/813,739	03/31/2004	Norbert Machanek	022233-9052-00	5140
	23409 MICUARI DE	7590 02/24/2010 CCT & EDIEDDICU I I D		EXAMINER	
	MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			CIRIC, LJILJANA V	
	Suite 3300 MILWAUKER	7. WI 53202 ·	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WIID WITOILDI	,		3744	
•					
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Bóx 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE Suite 3300 MILWAUKEE WI 53202

In re Application of:

MACHANEK, NORBERT

Serial No.: 10/813,739

Filed: March 31, 2004

Docket No. 022233-9052-00

Title:

HEAT EXCHANGER BLOCK

DECISION TO ACCEPT

DUPLICATE PAPER UNDER

37 C.F.R. 1.181

This is a decision on the communication filed on February 25, 2009. The petitioner requests withdrawal of the holding of abandonment and accept a duplicate response pursuant to 37 CFR 1.181(a).

The request is GRANTED.

The present application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the non-final Office action mailed on July 31, 2006 which set a one-month shortened period for reply. Notice of abandonment was mailed on February 12, 2007.

Applicant asserts that a timely response to the non-final office action was mailed to the Office on August 24, 2006. The applicant has supplied a copy of a Response to Official Action indicating a mailing date of August 24, 2006. The applicant has also provided a Certificate of Mailing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8, dated August 24, 2006. Finally, the applicant has provided a copy of USPTO receipt stamp dated August 28, 2006 at 4:40PM as an acknowledgement of receipt.

As applicant has provided convincing evidence that a timely reply to the non-final Office action of July 31, 2006 was mailed to the Office on August 24, 2006, there is no abandonment in fact. Any inconvenience or delay caused to applicant is regretted.

In view of the above, the abandonment of the application is in error and is hereby rescinded. This application is being forwarded to the examiner in Art Unit 3744 for appropriate action.

PETITION GRANTED

Robert Olszewski, Director

Technology Center 3700